arXiv:math/0602251v1 [math.NA] 12 Feb 2006

Comparison Theorems of Kolmogorov Type for Classes Defined by Cyclic Variation Diminishing Operators and Their Application [*]

[**]Fang Gensun Li Xuehua

School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

Abstract

Using present a unified approach, we establish a Kolmogorov type comparison theorem for the classes of 2π-periodic functions defined by a special class of operators having certain oscillation properties, which includes the classical Sobolev class of functions with 2π-periodic, the Achieser class, and the Hardy-Sobolev class as its special examples. Then, using these results, we prove a Taikov type inequality, and calculate the exact values of Kolmogorov, Gel′fand, linear and information n–widths of this class of functions in some space L_q, which is the classical Lebesgue integral space of 2π–periodic with the usual norm.

Key words and phrases: Comparison theorems of Kolmogorov type, n-widths, oscillation properties

AMS classification: 41A46, 30D55

1 Introduction

Osipenko [13] noticed that many extremal problems of approximation theory, such as optimal recovery, optimal quadrature formulae, and n-widths etc., can be solved for the classes of smooth periodic functions which can be represented as convolutions with cyclic variation diminishing kernels. However, the functions in some classes of analytic functions do not seem to be representable as convolutions with cyclic variation diminishing kernels. Nevertheless, results that have been known for these classes are very close to those obtained in the smooth case above (see [9, 11, 12, 2, 3]). The idea of constructing general theory, which is covering both of the smooth and analytic cases, has been repeatedly expressed by Tikhomirov (see [13]). Osipenko [13] proposed a unified approach to the problem of the exact calculation of n-widths on the classes of functions, defined by a special class of (in general, nonlinear) operators that have the property of cyclic variation diminishing. In this paper, we continue the work of Osipenko [13] to study comparison theorems of Kolmogorov type, inequalities of Taikov type, and determine the precise values n–widths on such class of functions.

First we recall some definitions. Let W be a subset of a linear space X. We consider the problem of the optimal recovery of a linear functional L on this subset from the values of linear functionals $l_1, \dots, l_n$. For $x \in W$, we set

$$Ix := (l_1 x, \dots, l_n x).$$

---

[*]Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(Grant No. 10371009) and Research Fund for the Doctoral Program Higher Education(Grant No. 20050027007).

[**] Corresponding author.

E-mail address: fanggs@bnu.edu.cn

The operator $I{:}W \to K^n$, where $K = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, depending on whether X is a real or a complex space, is called the information operator. The quantity

$$e(L, W, I) := \inf_{S:K^n \to K} \sup_{x \in W} |Lx - S(Ix)|$$

is called the error of the optimal recovery of the functional L on the set W. Each method S_0 such that

$$\sup_{x \in W} |Lx - S_0(Ix)| = e(L, W, I)$$

is said to be an optimal method of recovery. Smolyak [17] proved that in the real case, for a convex centrally symmetric set W, there exists among optimal methods of recovery a linear method and

$$e(L, W, I) := \sup_{x \in W,\, Ix=0} |Lx|. \tag{1.1}$$

Each element $x_0 \in W$ such that $Ix_0 = 0$ and

$$|Lx_0| = \sup_{x \in W,\, Ix=0} |Lx|,$$

is said to be extremal. The problem of finding an extremal element often turns out to be simpler than that of finding an optimal recovery method.

Let X be a normed linear space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, and X_n be an n–dimensional subspace of X. For each $x \in X$, $E(x; X_n)$ denotes the distance of the n–dimensional subspace X_n from x, defined by

$$E(x; X_n) := \inf_{y \in X_n} \|x - y\|, \tag{1.2}$$

and the quantity

$$E(A, X_n) := \sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in X_n} \|x - y\| \tag{1.3}$$

is said to be the deviation of A from X_n. Thus $E(A, X_n)$ measures how well the "worst element" of A can be approximated from X_n.

Given a subset A of X, one might ask how well one can approximate A by n–dimensional subspaces of X. Thus, we consider the possibility of allowing the n–dimensional subspaces X_n to vary within X. This idea, introduced by Kolmogorov in 1936, is now referred to as the Kolmogorov n–width of A in X. It is defined by

$$d_n(A, X) := \inf_{X_n} \sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in X_n} \|x - y\|, \tag{1.4}$$

where X_n runs over all n–dimensional subspaces of X.

The Kolmogorov n–width $d_n(A, X)$ describes the minimum error of A approximated by any n–dimensional subspace X_n in X. In addition to the Kolmogorov n–width, there are three other related concepts that will be studied in this paper. The linear n–width of A in X is defined by

$$\lambda_n(A, X) := \inf_{P_n} \sup_{x \in A} \|x - P_n x\|, \tag{1.5}$$

where the infimum is taken over all bounded linear operators mapping X into itself whose range has dimension at most n.

The Gel′fand n–width of A in X is given by

$$d^n(A,X) := \inf_{X^n} \sup_{x \in A \cap X^n} \|x\|, \tag{1.6}$$

where X^n runs over all subspaces of X of codimension n (here we assume that $0 \in A$), and the information n–width is the quantity

$$i_n(A,X) := \inf_{l_1,\ldots,l_n} \inf_{m:Z^n \to X} \sup_{x \in A} \|x - m(l_1x,\ldots,l_nx)\|, \tag{1.7}$$

where $l_1,\ldots,l_n$ run over all continuous linear functionals on A and m is taken over all maps of Z^n into X ($Z = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ depending on whether A is a set of real–valued or complex–valued functions). Fore more detailed information about the n–widths, we refer to monographs of Pinkus [16], and Lorentz, Golischek and Makovoz [7].

Now we recall some notions of sign changes of vectors and functions, and the definitions of Property B and $NCVD$.

Definition 1.1. *(see [16], pp. 45, 59) Let $x = (x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{0\}$ be a real non–trivial vectors.*
(i) $S^-(x)$ indicates the number of sign changes in the sequence $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ with zero terms discarded. The number $S_c^-(x)$ of cyclic variations of sign of x is given by

$$S_c^-(x) := \max_i S^-(x_i, x_{i+1},\ldots,x_n,x_1,\ldots,x_i) = S^-(x_k,\ldots,x_n,x_1,\ldots,x_k),$$

where k is any integer for which $x_k \neq 0$. Obviously $S_c^-(x)$ is invariant under cyclic permutations, and $S_c^-(x)$ is always an even number.

(ii) $S^+(x)$ counts the maximum number of sign changes in the sequence $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ where zero terms are arbitrarily assigned values $+1$ or -1. The number $S_c^+(x)$ of maximum cyclic variations of sign of x is defined by

$$S_c^+(x) := \max_i S^+(x_i, x_{i+1},\ldots,x_n,x_1,\ldots,x_i).$$

Let f be a piecewise continuous, 2π–periodic function. We assume that $f(x) = [f(x+) + f(x-)]/2$ for all x and

$$S_c(f) := \sup S_c^-\left((f(x_1),\ldots,f(x_m))\right), \tag{1.8}$$

where the supremum is taken over all $x_1 < \cdots < x_m < x_1 + 2\pi$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Suppose that f is a continuous function of periodic 2π. We define

$$\widetilde{Z}_c(f) := \sup S_c^+\left((f(x_1),\ldots,f(x_m))\right), \tag{1.9}$$

where the supremum runs over all $x_1 < \ldots < x_m < x_1 + 2\pi$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly,

$$S_c(f) \leq \widetilde{Z}_c(f),$$

where $S_c(f)$ denotes the number of sigh changes of f on a period, $\widetilde{Z}_c(f)$ denotes the number of zeros of f on a period, and sign changes are counted once and zeros which are not sign changes are counted twice.

Definition 1.2. *(see [16], p.129) A real, 2π–periodic, continuous function G satisfies Property B if for every choice of $0 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_m < 2\pi$ and each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the subspace*

$$X_m := \{b + \sum_{j=1}^m b_j G(\cdot - t_j) : \sum_{j=1}^m b_j = 0\}$$

is of dimension m, and is a weak Tchebycheff (WT–) system (see [16], p.39) for all m odd.

Let ϕ be a piecewise continuous 2π–periodic function satisfying $\phi \perp \mathbf{1}$ and set $\psi(x) := a + (G * \phi)(x)$. If G satisfies Property B, then $S_c(\psi) \leq S_c(\phi)$(see [16, p.129]).

Definition 1.3. *(see [16], p.60 and p.126) We say that a real, continuous, 2π–periodic function k is non–degenerate cyclic variation diminishing (NCVD) if $S_c(k * h) \leq S_c(h)$ for each real, 2π–periodic, piecewise continuous function h, and*

$$\dim \operatorname{span}\{k(x_1 - \cdot), \dots, k(x_n - \cdot)\} = n$$

for every choice of $0 \leq x_1 < \cdots < x_n < 2\pi$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Denote by $L_q := L_q[0, 2\pi]$ the classical Lebesgue integral space of 2π–periodic real–valued functions on $\mathbb{R}$ with the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_q$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. We now introduce the classes of functions to be studied here. Let φ be a differentiable, odd and strictly increasing function for which φ' is continuous on $[-1, 1]$. It is clear that the functions

$$\varphi_0(z) = \tan(\pi z/4) \tag{1.10}$$

and

$$\varphi_1(z) = z \tag{1.11}$$

satisfy the above conditions. Let $f * g$ denote the convolution of the functions f and g, i.e.,

$$(f * g)(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x - t) g(t)\, dt,$$

and let G be a kernel satisfying Property B or $NCVD$. Then G has some variation-diminishing properties, we are interested in the class of 2π–periodic functions representable as as follows:

$$\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta} = \{f : \; f = a + G * \varphi(K_\beta * u), \, a \in \Theta, \, \varphi(K_\beta * u) \perp \Theta, \, \|u\|_\infty \leq 1\}, \tag{1.12}$$

where $\Theta = \mathbb{R}$ if G satisfies Property B or $\Theta = \emptyset$ if G is a $NCVD$ kernel, and

$$K_\beta(z) := 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(kz)}{\cosh(k\beta)}, \qquad \beta > 0. \tag{1.13}$$

For conciseness, we will regard $\varphi(K_\beta * u)$ as u if $\beta = 0$. It can be seen that $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi_0}_{\infty,\beta}$ and $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi_1}_{\infty,\beta}$ are convex. Set

$$D_r(t) := 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(kt - (\pi r/2))}{k^r}, \qquad r = 1, 2, \dots. \tag{1.14}$$

It is known that for each $r \geq 2$, D_r satisfies Property B (D_1 also satisfies all the conditions of Property B except that it has a jump discontinuity at $x = 0$)(see [16], p.133). Then from [13] we have

$$\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta} := \begin{cases} \widetilde{W}^r_\infty, & G = D_r, \, \beta = 0, \\[2ex] \widetilde{h}^r_{\infty,\beta}, & G = D_r, \, \varphi = \varphi_1, \, \beta > 0, \\[2ex] \widetilde{H}^r_{\infty,\beta}, & G = D_r, \, \varphi = \varphi_0, \, \beta > 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.15}$$

where $\widetilde{W}^r_\infty$ is the classical Sobolev class of real, 2π-periodic functions f whose $(r-1)$th derivative is absolutely continuous and whose rth derivative satisfies the condition $\|f^{(r)}\|_\infty \le 1$; $\widetilde{h}^r_{\infty,\beta}$ is the Achieser class [1, p. 214, and p. 219] which are all real–valued on $\mathbb{R}$ with 2π–periodic, and can be continued analytically in the strip $S_\beta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\mathrm{Im} z| < \beta\}$, satisfying the restriction condition $|\mathrm{Re} f^{(r)}(z)| \le 1$ in this strip; and $\widetilde{H}^r_{\infty,\beta}$ is the class of 2π–periodic, real–valued functions on $\mathbb{R}$ which are analytic in the strip S_β and satisfy the condition $|f^{(r)}(z)| \le 1$, $z \in S_\beta$. Thus the class $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ includes the three famous classes as its special cases.

The exact values of n–widths were investigated for the classical Sobolev class $\widetilde{W}^r_p$ (see [6]) in L_q space, by the efforts of many mathematicians some similar results were also built for the classes $\widetilde{h}^r_{\infty,\beta}$ and $\widetilde{H}^r_{\infty,\beta}$. The Kolmogorov n–widths of the class $\widetilde{h}^r_{\infty,\beta}$ in the space L_∞ were obtained by Tikhomirov [20], Forst [5] for $r = 0$ and by Sun [18], and Osipenko [12] for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. After this, the exact values of the even n–widths of the class of $\widetilde{h}^r_{\infty,\beta}$ in L_q, $1 \le q < \infty$ were calculated by Pinkus [16] for $r = 0$ and by Osipenko [11] for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. The exact estimates of the even n–widths of the class $\widetilde{H}^r_{\infty,\beta}$ in the space L_q were determined by Osipenko [9] for $r = 0$, $1 \le q \le \infty$ and by Osipenko [12]–[13], Osipenko and Wilderotter [14] for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $q = \infty$. The exact values of Gel′fand n–width, Kolmogorov $2n$–width, linear $2n$–width and information $2n$–width of $\widetilde{H}^r_{\infty,\beta}$ in the space L_q were obtained by us [2] for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \le q < \infty$.

Now we outline the rest of this paper. In Section 2, we establish a comparison theorem of Kolmogorov type on $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ by using the property of the kernel G. In Section 3, using the results of Section 2, we get a Taikov type inequality which will be used as the upper estimates of the Gel'fand n-width of the class $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ in L_q for G satisfying Property B and $1 \le q < \infty$. In Section 4, following the method of [2] and [13], we solve two minimum norm questions on analogue of the classical polynomial perfect splines, and then we use them to prove the lower estimates of n-widths, which together with some results of Section 3 determine the precise values of n–widths of $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ in the space L_∞ for φ being such that $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ is convex, the exact values of the Gel'fand n–width, and the lower estimate of the Kolmogorov $2n$–width of $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ in L_q for G satisfying Property B and $1 \le q < \infty$.

2 Comparison Theorem of Kolmogorov Type

The Kolmogorov comparison theorem (see [6]), which concerns the comparison of derivatives of differentiable functions defined on the real line, plays an important role in establishing some sharp estimates of extremal problems in approximation theory. In this section, we will prove a comparison theorem of Kolmogorov type on the class $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$. Before advancing our discuss further, we introduce the following notions. Let

$$\Lambda_{2n} = \{\xi : \xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{2n}),\ 0 \le \xi_1 < \cdots < \xi_{2n} < 2\pi\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.1}$$

The closure of Λ_{2n}, $\overline{\Lambda}_{2n}$ is given by

$$\overline{\Lambda}_{2n} := \{\xi : \xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{2m}),\ 0 \le \xi_1 < \cdots < \xi_{2m} < 2\pi,\ m \le n\}. \tag{2.2}$$

For each $\xi \in \Lambda_{2n}$, we define

$$h_\xi(t) := (-1)^j, \quad t \in [\xi_{j-1}, \xi_j), \quad j = 1, \ldots, 2n+1, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\xi_0 = 0$ and $\xi_{2n+1} = 2\pi$. There is one particularly important function of this form, say h_n, which corresponds to the choice $\xi_j = (j-1)\pi/n$, $j = 1, \ldots, 2n$.

We introduce the standard function $\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}$ of the comparison theorem on the class $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ and discuss some of its properties. Let

$$\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(x) := (G * \varphi(K_\beta * h_n))(x). \tag{2.4}$$

Then it is easily seen that $\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(x + \pi/n) = -\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(x)$ and $\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(x)$ is continuous with respect to x. So $\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}$ is $2\pi/n$–periodic and there exist at least $2n$ points $0 \le t_1 < \cdots < t_{2n} < 2\pi$ such that

$$\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(t_j) := \epsilon(-1)^j \|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_\infty, \quad j = 1, \ldots, 2n, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\epsilon = 1$ or -1.

Now we are in position to prove the comparison theorem on the class $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$.

Theorem 2.1 (Comparison theorem of Kolmogorov type). *Let* $f \in \widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ *be such that* $\|f\|_\infty \le \|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_\infty$ *for some positive integer* n, *and* $f(\alpha) = \Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(\gamma)$, $f'(\alpha)\Phi^{G,\varphi'}_{n,\beta}(\gamma) \ge 0$ *for some* α, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. *Then*

$$|f'(\alpha)| \le |\Phi^{G,\varphi'}_{n,\beta}(\gamma)|. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume $\alpha = \gamma$. Assume that for some $f = a + G * \varphi(K_\beta * u) \in \widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$, $a \in \Theta$, $\varphi(K_\beta * u) \perp \Theta$, $\|u\|_\infty \le 1$, positive integer n and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\|f\|_\infty \le \|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_\infty$, $f(\alpha) = \Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(\alpha)$, $f'(\alpha)\Phi^{G,\varphi'}_{n,\beta}(\alpha) \ge 0$ and $|f'(\alpha)| > |\Phi^{G,\varphi'}_{n,\beta}(\alpha)|$. It follows from the definitions that f' and $\Phi^{G,\varphi'}_{n,\beta}$ are continuous. If $f(\alpha) \ne 0$, the continuity of them ensures the existence of $0 < \rho < 1$ and $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\rho f(\alpha_0) = \Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(\alpha_0), \quad \rho|f'(\alpha_0)| > |\Phi^{G,\varphi'}_{n,\beta}(\alpha_0)|. \tag{2.7}$$

If $f(\alpha) = \Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(\alpha) = 0$, we can choose some ρ satisfying $1 > \rho > \frac{|\Phi^{G,\varphi'}_{n,\beta}(\alpha)|}{|f'(\alpha)|}$ and let $\alpha_0 = \alpha$. Then (2.7) also holds. Put $\overline{f} := \rho f$, then $\overline{f} \in \widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ and $\|\overline{f}\|_\infty < \|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_\infty$. It is enough to consider one possible case $\overline{f}(\alpha_0) = \Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(\alpha_0) \ge 0$, $\overline{f}'(\alpha_0) > \Phi^{G,\varphi'}_{n,\beta}(\alpha_0) > 0$ (the other cases can be treated by the same way). From the property of $\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}$, it has at least $2n$ monotone intervals on every interval whose length is 2π. Choose a interval whose length is 2π and whose endpoints are extremal points of $\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}$, in which α_0 is contained, written as Δ_{α_0}. By geometrical consideration we see that in the monotone interval, which is in Δ_{α_0} and contains α_0, the graphs of $\overline{f}$ and $\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}$ intersect at least three times, while on each of the other monotone intervals in Δ_{α_0} these graphs intersect at least once. Hence for $F(x) := \Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(x) - \rho f(x)$, we have $\widetilde{Z}_c(F) \ge 2n + 2$.

On the other hand, since φ is a differentiable odd and strictly increasing function, and K_β is *NCVD*, if G satisfies Property *B*, by [16, Chapt. IV, Prop. 6.4] we can get

$$\widetilde{Z}_c(F) \le S_c(\varphi(K_\beta * h_n) - \rho\varphi(K_\beta * u)) \le S_c(h_n(\cdot) - u^\star(\cdot)) \le 2n,$$

where $u^\star$ is defined by the equality $\rho\varphi(K_\beta * u) = \varphi(K_\beta * u^\star)$, satisfying $\varphi(K_\beta * u^\star) \perp \Theta$ and $\|u^\star\|_\infty < 1$. If G is a *NCVD* kernel, by [16, Chapt. III, Section 3], we shall assume that G is extended *CVD* by means of "smoothing". The property of G being extended *CVD* implies that

$$\widetilde{Z}_c(F) \le S_c(\varphi(K_\beta * h_n) - \rho\varphi(K_\beta * u)) \le S_c(h_n(\cdot) - u^\star(\cdot)) \le 2n,$$

where $u^\star$ is the same as that above. Thus we get a contradiction. Theorem 2.1 is proved. □

Remark 2.2. *Among others, Sun [18] established a comparison theorem of Kolmogorov type for the class* $\widetilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}$. *Moreover, Fisher [4] demonstrated an inequality of Landau–Kolmogorov type for the class of non–periodic analytic functions on the open unit disk whose* r*th derivatives are bounded by* 1 *and which are real–valued on the interval* $(-1,1)$. *Osipenko [10] established Kolmogorov inequalities for the class of functions analytic in the strip* S_β*, real on the real axis* $\mathbb{R}$ *and satisfying the condition* $|f^{(r)}(z)|\le 1$, $z\in S_\beta$*, which includes the class* $\widetilde{H}^r_{\infty,\beta}$ *as a subset with 2π-periodic. Using a method different from that of Osipenko [10] we established a comparison theorem of Kolmogorov type on the class* $\widetilde{H}^r_{\infty,\beta}$ *(see [2]).*

Our next aim is to establish a Landau–Kolmogorov type inequality and some other corollaries of the comparison theorem.

Corollary 2.3 (Landau–Kolmogorov type inequality). *Let* $f\in\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ *be such that* $\|f\|_\infty\le\|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_\infty$ *for some positive integer* n *. Then*

$$\|f'\|_\infty\le\|{\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}}'\|_\infty. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. Assume that there exists a $\xi\in\mathbb{R}$ for which $|f'(\xi)|>\|{\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}}'\|_\infty$. Since $\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(t)$ is a continuous function of t and

$$\|f\|_\infty\le\|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_\infty,\qquad -\|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_\infty\le\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(t)\le\|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_\infty,\quad t\in\mathbb{R},$$

there exists an $\eta\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $f(\xi)=\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(\eta)$ and $|f'(\xi)|>|{\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}}'(\eta)|$, which contradicts Theorem 2.1. Corollary 2.3 is proved. □

Let $C(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of all continuous functions on the real line $\mathbb{R}$. A function $\psi\in C(\mathbb{R})$ is said to be regular (see [6], p. 107) if it has a period $2l$ and if on some interval $(a,a+2l)$ (a is a point of an absolute extremum of ψ) there is a point c such that ψ is strictly monotone on (a,c) and $(c,a+2l)$. In order to emphasize the length of the period $2l$, sometimes we shall speak about ψ as being $2l$–regular.

We say that a function $f\in C(\mathbb{R})$ possesses a μ–property with respect to a regular function ψ if for every $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$ and on every interval of monotonicity of ψ the difference $\psi(t)-f(t+\alpha)$ either does not change sign or changes sign exactly once–from $+$ to $-$ if ψ decreases or from $-$ to $+$ if ψ increases. It is clear that f will possess the μ–property with respect to $\psi(t+\beta)$, $\beta\in\mathbb{R}$ if it possesses the μ–property with respect to ψ.

Corollary 2.4. *Let* G *satisfy Property* B. *Let* $f\in\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ *be such that* $\|f\|_\infty\le\|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_\infty$ *for some positive integer* n. *Then the function* f *possesses a* μ*–property with respect to* $\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}$.

Proof. Let G satisfy Property B. First we will prove that $\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}$ is $2\pi/n$–regular. Assume that $\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}$ is not $2\pi/n$–regular. Since $\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(x+\pi/n)=-\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(x)$ and $\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(x)$ is continuous with respect to x, there exists a constant $c\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(\cdot)-c$ has at least $2n+2$ zeros, i.e., $\widetilde{Z}_c(\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(\cdot)-c)\ge 2n+2$.

On the other hand, since φ is a differentiable, odd and strictly increasing function, and K_β is $NCVD$, the condition that G satisfies Property B implies that

$$\widetilde{Z}_c(\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(\cdot)-c)\le S_c(\varphi(K_\beta*h_n))\le S_c(h_n)\le 2n$$

by [16, Chapt. IV, Prop. 6.4]. This contradiction shows that $\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}$ is $2\pi/n$–regular. Then there exist exactly $2n$ points $0 \le t_{n,\beta,1}^{G,\varphi} < \cdots < t_{n,\beta,2n}^{G,\varphi} < 2\pi$ such that

$$\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}(t_{n,\beta,j}^{G,\varphi}) := \epsilon(-1)^j \|\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}\|_\infty, \quad t_{n,\beta,j+1}^{G,\varphi} - t_{n,\beta,j}^{G,\varphi} = \frac{\pi}{n}, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n, \tag{2.9}$$

where $\epsilon = 1$ or -1, and $t_{n,\beta,2n+1}^{G,\varphi} = t_{n,\beta,1}^{G,\varphi} + 2\pi$. So put

$$\Delta_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi,(j)} = [t_{n,\beta,j}^{G,\varphi}, t_{n,\beta,j+1}^{G,\varphi}), \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n. \tag{2.10}$$

Then $\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}$ is monotonic on each interval $\Delta_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi,(j)}$, $j = 1, \dots, 2n$.

Suppose that f does not possess a μ–property with respect to $\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}$. Then for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the difference $\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}(t) - f(t+\alpha)$ changes sign on a monotone interval $\Delta_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi,(k)}$ ($k \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$) of the standard function $\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}$ from $+$ to $-$ if $\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}$ increases and from $-$ to $+$ if $\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}$ decreases. By continuity arguments this fact will also hold for the difference

$$F(t) := \Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}(t) - (1-\epsilon) f(t+\alpha)$$

for $0 < \epsilon < 1$ sufficiently small. Since $(1-\epsilon)\|f(\cdot+\alpha)\|_\infty < \|\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}\|_\infty$, F changes sign at least three times on the interval $\Delta_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi,(k)}$ and at least once on each of the other intervals $\Delta_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi,(j)}$ ($j \in \{1, \dots, 2n\} \backslash \{k\}$). Hence $S_c(F) \ge 2n+2$.

On the other hand, we can prove that $S_c(F) \le 2n$ by the same method as that in the proof of Theorem 2.1. This contradiction proves the corollary. ☐

A function F is said to be a periodic integral of a real, 2π-periodic, continuous function f on $\mathbb{R}$ if $F'(x) = f(x)$ and $F(x+2\pi) = F(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let G satisfy Property B. Put

$$\widetilde{G}(x) = \int_0^x (G(y) - a_0)\, dy, \tag{2.11}$$

where $a_0 = 1/2\pi \int_0^{2\pi} G(y)dy$. Then $\widetilde{G}$ satisfies Property B (see [16, Chapt. IV, Prop. 6.6]) and $\Phi_{n,\beta}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi}$ is a periodic integral of $\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}$. From Corollary 2.3, we have immediately the following theorem which will be used in the next section.

Theorem 2.5. *Let G satisfy Property B and $\widetilde{G}$ be defined by (2.11). Suppose that $f \in \widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}$, and F is a periodic integral of f such that $\|F\|_\infty \le \|\Phi_{n,\beta}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi}\|_\infty$ for some positive integer n. Then*

$$\|f\|_\infty \le \|\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}\|_\infty. \tag{2.12}$$

3 Inequalities of Taikov Type

In this section, we establish an inequality of Taikov type, which leads to the upper estimates of the Gel'fand n-widths of $\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}$ in L_q for G satisfying Property B and $1 \le q < \infty$. To do this, we need some auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, G satisfy Property B and $\widetilde{G}$ be defined by (2.11). Then*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}(t)|\, dt = \bigvee_0^{2\pi} \Phi_{n,\beta}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi} = 4n\|\Phi_{n,\beta}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi}\|_\infty. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. By (2.5) and (2.10), $\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}$ is $2\pi/n$-periodic, strictly monotonic on $\Delta_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi,(j)}$ $(j = 1, \ldots, 2n)$ and $\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}(t + \frac{\pi}{n}) = -\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 2\pi)$. Hence,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}(t)|\,dt = \int_{t_{n,\beta,1}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi}}^{t_{n,\beta,1}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi}+2\pi} |\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}(t)|\,dt = 2n\int_{t_{n,\beta,1}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi}}^{t_{n,\beta,1}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi}+\frac{\pi}{n}} |\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}(t)|\,dt = 2n\left|\int_{t_{n,\beta,1}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi}}^{t_{n,\beta,1}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi}+\frac{\pi}{n}} \Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}(t)\,dt\right|$$

$$= 2n\left|\Phi_{n,\beta}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi}(t_{n,\beta,1}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi} + \tfrac{\pi}{n}) - \Phi_{n,\beta}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi}(t_{n,\beta,1}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi})\right| = 4n\|\Phi_{n,\beta}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi}\|_\infty = 2n \bigvee_{t_{n,\beta,1}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi}}^{t_{n,\beta,1}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi}+\frac{\pi}{n}} \Phi_{n,\beta}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi} = \bigvee_0^{2\pi} \Phi_{n,\beta}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi}.$$

□

Now let f be a 2π-periodic integrable function, and denote by $r(f,t)$ the non-increasing rearrangement of $|f|$ (see [6], p. 110). With this notation we have

Lemma 3.2. *(see [6], p.112) Let* f, $g \in L_q$ $(1 \le q < \infty)$ *and*

$$\int_0^x r(f,t)\,dt \le \int_0^x r(g,t)\,dt, \qquad 0 \le x \le 2\pi.$$

Then

$$\|f\|_q \le \|g\|_q.$$

Lemma 3.3. *(see [6], p. 114) Let* $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ *possess the* μ*-property with respect to the* $2\pi/n$*–regular* $(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ *function* ψ *and*

$$\int_0^{2\pi/n} \psi(t)\,dt = 0.$$

If

$$\min_u \psi(u) \le f(t) \le \max_u \psi(u), \qquad \forall\, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$\max_{a,b}\left|\int_a^b f(t)\,dt\right| \le \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{2\pi/n} |\psi(t)|\,dt,$$

then

$$\int_0^x r(f,t)\,dt \le \int_0^x r(\psi,t)\,dt, \qquad 0 \le x \le 2\pi.$$

Theorem 3.4. *Let* G *satisfy Property B,* $\widetilde{G}$ *be defined by (2.11),* $f \in \widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}$ *and* F *be a periodic integral of* f *such that* $\|F\|_\infty \le \|\Phi_{n,\beta}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi}\|_\infty$ *for some positive integer* n*. Then*

$$\int_0^x r(f,t)\,dt \le \int_0^x r(\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, t)\,dt, \quad 0 \le x \le 2\pi, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\|f\|_q \le \|\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}\|_q, \quad 1 \le q < \infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. By virtue of Lemma 3.2, the inequality (3.3) follows from (3.2). So we only need to prove the inequality (3.2). Since $f \in \widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}$ and F is a periodic integral of f such that $\|F\|_\infty \le \|\Phi_{n,\beta}^{\widetilde{G},\varphi}\|_\infty$ for some positive integer n, we conclude from Theorem 2.5 that $\|f\|_\infty \le \|\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}\|_\infty$, and it follows from Corollary 3.9 that f possesses a μ-property with respect to the $2\pi/n$–regular function $\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}$. By noticing that $\int_0^{2\pi/n}\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(t)\,dt = 0$ and the following result derived from the proof of Lemma 3.1:

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{a,b}\Big|\int_a^b f(t)dt\Big| &\le 2\|F\|_\infty \le 2\|\Phi^{\widetilde{G},\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_\infty\\ &= \int_0^{\pi/n}|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(t)|\,dt = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{2\pi/n}|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(t)|\,dt,\end{aligned}$$

we see that Lemma 3.3 is applicable for the functions f and $\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}$. Hence the inequality (3.2) is true. Theorem 3.4 is proved. □

Let

$$\mathcal{T}_n = \operatorname{span}\{1, \cos t, \sin t, \dots, \cos((n-1)t), \sin((n-1)t)\}$$

be the trigonometric polynomial subspace of order $n-1$. Set

$$a_j(f) := \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} f(t)\cos(jt)\,dt, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

$$b_j(f) := \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} f(t)\sin(jt)\,dt, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$I_{2n-1}(f) := (a_0(f), a_1(f), b_1(f), \cdots, a_{n-1}(f), b_{n-1}(f)).$$

The following lemma will be used in establishing Taikov type inequality in the next section as well as the upper estimates of n-widths in section 4.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and φ be such that $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ is convex. Then there exists a linear operator $\mathcal{L}$: $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1} \to \mathcal{T}_n$ such that*

$$\sup_{f\in\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}}\|f - \mathcal{L}(I_{2n-1}(f))\|_\infty = \|G * \varphi(K_\beta * h_n)\|_\infty = \|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_\infty. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. First, we claim that

$$\sup_{f\in\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}, I_{2n-1}(f)=0}\|f\|_\infty = \|G * \varphi(K_\beta * h_n)\|_\infty = \|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_\infty. \tag{3.5}$$

Suppose that there exists a function $f_0 = a_0 + G*\varphi(K_\beta * u_0) \in \widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$, where $a_0 \in \Theta$, $\varphi(K_\beta * u_0) \perp \Theta$ and $\|u_0\|_\infty \le 1$, such that $I_{2n-1}(f_0) = 0$ and $\|f_0\|_\infty > \|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_\infty$. Set

$$\rho = \frac{\|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_\infty}{\|f_0\|_\infty}.$$

Then $0 < \rho < 1$. We choose $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that $F(\cdot) \triangleq \Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}(\cdot + \alpha) - \rho f_0(\cdot)$ has a multiple zero. Since $\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}$ has the period $2\pi/n$, $I_{2n-1}(\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}) = 0$. Thus, $I_{2n-1}(F) = 0$. Since the trigonometric system is a Tchebycheff system, it follows from [16, p.41] that

$$\widetilde{Z}_c(F(\cdot)) > S_c(F(\cdot)) \ge 2n.$$

On the other hand, since φ is a differentiable odd and strictly increasing function, and K_β is $NCVD$, if G satisfies Property B, by [16, Chapt. IV, Prop. 6.4] we can get

$$\widetilde{Z}_c(F(\cdot)) \le S_c(\varphi(K_\beta * h_n)(\cdot+\alpha) - \rho\varphi(K_\beta * u_0)(\cdot))$$

$$\le S_c(h_n(\cdot+\alpha) - u_0^\star(\cdot)) \le 2n,$$

where $u_0^\star$ is defined by the equality $\rho\varphi(K_\beta * u_0) = \varphi(K_\beta * u_0^\star)$, satisfying $\varphi(K_\beta * u_0^\star) \perp \Theta$ and $\|u_0^\star\|_\infty < 1$. If G is a $NCVD$ kernel, by [16, Chapt. III, Section 3], we shall assume that G is extended CVD by means of "smoothing". The property of G being extended CVD implies that

$$\widetilde{Z}_c(F(\cdot)) \le S_c(\varphi(K_\beta * h_n)(\cdot+\alpha) - \rho\varphi(K_\beta * u_0)(\cdot))$$

$$\le S_c(h_n(\cdot+\alpha) - u_0^\star(\cdot)) \le 2n,$$

where $u_0^\star$ is the same as that above. Thus we get a contradiction. So (3.5) holds.

Now we consider the problem of the optimal recovery of the value $f(0)$ in the class $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ from the information $I_{2n-1}(f)$. Since φ is such that $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ is convex, by general results concerning the problems of the optimal recovery [17], there exists a linear optimal method of recovery, that is, there exist numbers $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta_{n-1}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{f\in\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}} \|f(0) - \alpha_0 a_0(f) - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(\alpha_j a_j(f) + \beta_j b_j(f))\|_\infty \\ &= \sup_{f\in\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}, I_{2n-1}(f)=0} \|f\|_\infty = \|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Let g be an arbitrary function in $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$. For $t\in[0,2\pi)$, we set $f_t(\tau) = g(t+\tau)$. We have $f_t \in \widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$, $a_0(f_t) = a_0(g)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} a_j(f_t) &= a_j(g)\cos(jt) + b_j(g)\sin(jt), \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad j = 1,2,\dots; \\ b_j(f_t) &= -a_j(g)\sin(jt) + b_j(g)\cos(jt), \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

therefore setting

$$\mathcal{L}(I_{2n-1}(g)) := \alpha_0 a_0(g) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}((\alpha_j\cos(jt) - \beta_j\sin(jt))a_j(g) + (\alpha_j\sin(jt) + \beta_j\cos(jt))b_j(g)),$$

from (3.6) with $\tau = 0$ we obtain

$$|g(t) - \mathcal{L}(I_{2n-1}(g))| \le \|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_\infty.$$

Since $t\in[0,2\pi)$ can be chosen arbitrarily, it follows that

$$\|g - \mathcal{L}(I_{2n-1}(g))\|_\infty \le \|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_\infty.$$

For $g = \Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}$ the last inequality turns into equality, which proves Lemma 3.5. □

Let G satisfy Property B and $\widetilde{G}$ be defined by (2.11). Consider a subset of $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ defined by

$$\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}\bigcap \mathcal{T}_n^{\perp} := \left\{ f \in \widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta} : \, I_{2n-1}(f) = 0 \right\}, \tag{3.8}$$

and let F be the periodic integral of $f \in \widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}\bigcap \mathcal{T}_n^{\perp}$ and satisfy the condition $\int_0^{2\pi} F(t)dt = 0$. Then we have $I_{2n-1}(F) = 0$. By (3.5) we know that

$$\|F\|_\infty \le \|\Phi^{\widetilde{G},\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_\infty, \tag{3.9}$$

which together with Theorem 3.4 gives an inequality of Taikov type as follows.

Theorem 3.6 (Inequalities of Taikov type). *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, G satisfy Property B and $f \in \widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}\bigcap \mathcal{T}_n^{\perp}$. Then*

$$\|f\|_q \le \|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_q, \quad 1 \le q < \infty.$$

Since $\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}$ is $2\pi/n$-periodic, $\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta} \in \widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}\bigcap \mathcal{T}_n^{\perp}$. From Theorem 3.6 and (3.9), we obtain

Corollary 3.7. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and G satisfy Property B. Then*

$$\sup_{f\in \widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}\bigcap \mathcal{T}_n^{\perp}} \|f\|_q = \|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_q, \quad 1 \le q < \infty.$$

Remark 3.8. *Among others, Taikov [19] proved that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r = 1, 2, \ldots,$*

$$\sup_{f\in \widetilde{W}^r_\infty\bigcap \mathcal{T}_n^{\perp}} \|f\|_q \le \|D_r * h_n\|_q, \quad 1 \le q < \infty. \tag{3.10}$$

The inequality (3.10) is now referred to as Taikov's inequality. For the case $q = 1$, (3.10) was also proved by Turovets in another way. For more details see [6, p. 172 and p. 207]. We [2] proved that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,$

$$\sup_{f\in \widetilde{H}^r_{\infty,\beta}\bigcap \mathcal{T}_n^{\perp}} \|f\|_q = \|D_r * \varphi_0(K_\beta * h_n)\|_q, \quad 1 \le q < \infty. \tag{3.11}$$

Remark 3.9. *We conjecture that the inequality of Taikov type also holds for G being a $NCVD$ kernel, the maim difficulty lies proving the corollary is also true for G being a $NCVD$ kernel.*

4 Exact Values of n–Widths of $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$

In this section, we will solve a uniform minimum norm question of the classical polynomial perfect splines. Using the result, we determine the exact values of the Kolmogorov, Gel′fand, linear and information n–widths of $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ in L_∞ for φ being such that $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ is convex, which have been obtained by Osipenko [13] in a different method. And then we solve a minimum norm question on analogue of the classical polynomial perfect splines in the space of L_q, $1 \le q < \infty$, which together with some results of Section 3 gives the exact values of the Gel′fand n–width, and the lower estimate of the Kolmogorov $2n$–width of $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ in L_q for G satisfying Property B and $1 \le q < \infty$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let

$$\overline{\Lambda}^{\varphi,\Theta}_{2n} := \{\xi \in \overline{\Lambda}_{2n} : \, \varphi(K_\beta * h_\xi) \perp \Theta\},$$

$$\widetilde{K}^{G}_{\beta}(\overline{\Lambda}^{\varphi,\Theta}_{2n}) := \{f : \quad f = a + G * \varphi(K_\beta * h_\xi), \, a \in \Theta, \, \xi \in \overline{\Lambda}^{\varphi,\Theta}_{2n}\}, \tag{4.1}$$

where G, φ, K_β and Θ are the same as those in (1.12). We will regard $\varphi(K_\beta * u)$ as u if $\beta = 0$.

Lemma 4.1. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\inf_{f \in \widetilde{K}_\beta^G(\overline{\Lambda}_{2n}^{\varphi,\Theta})} \|f\|_\infty = \|G * \varphi(K_\beta * h_n)\|_\infty = \|\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}\|_\infty. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Suppose that there exists an $a \in \Theta$ and a $\xi \in \overline{\Lambda}_{2n}^{\varphi,\Theta}$ for which

$$\|a + G * \varphi(K_\beta * h_\xi)\|_\infty < \|G * \varphi(K_\beta * h_n)\|_\infty.$$

By virtue of (2.5), we have

$$2n \le S_c((G * \varphi(K_\beta * h_n))(\cdot + \alpha) \pm a \pm (G * \varphi(K_\beta * h_\xi))(\cdot)) \tag{4.3}$$

for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows from the equality $\varphi(K_\beta * h_n)(x + \pi/n) = -\varphi(K_\beta * h_n)(x)$ that $\varphi(K_\beta * h_n)$ is $2\pi/n$–periodic and $\varphi(K_\beta * h_n) \perp 1$. From the fact that G satisfies Property B or is a $NCVD$ kernel, $a \in \Theta$, φ is an odd, continuous and strictly increasing function, K_β is a $NCVD$ kernel and $\xi \in \overline{\Lambda}_{2n}^{\varphi,\Theta}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &S_c((G * \varphi(K_\beta * h_n))(\cdot + \alpha) \pm a \pm (G * \varphi(K_\beta * h_\xi))(\cdot)) \\ &\le S_c(\varphi((K_\beta * h_n)(\cdot + \alpha)) \pm \varphi((K_\beta * h_\xi)(\cdot))) \\ &= S_c((K_\beta * h_n)(\cdot + \alpha) \pm (K_\beta * h_\xi)(\cdot)) \\ &\le S_c(h_n(\cdot + \alpha) \pm h_\xi(\cdot)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Using [16, Chapt. V, Lemma 4.1], we obtain the existence of an $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon = 1$ or -1 for which

$$S_c(h_n(\cdot + \alpha) - \epsilon h_\xi(\cdot)) \le 2(n-1).$$

So from (4.3) and (4.4), we have

$$2n \le S_c((G * \varphi(K_\beta * h_n))(\cdot + \alpha) - \epsilon a - \epsilon(G * \varphi(K_\beta * h_\xi))(\cdot)) \le 2(n-1)$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon = 1$ or -1. This is a contradiction. Lemma 4.1 is proved. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and φ be such that $\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}$ is convex. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2n}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) &= \lambda_{2n}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) = d^{2n}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) = i_{2n}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) \\ &= d_{2n-1}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) = \lambda_{2n-1}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) \\ &= d^{2n-1}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) = i_{2n-1}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) = \|\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}\|_\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. First, we will prove the lower bound for the Kolmogorov $2n$–width. Set

$$\begin{aligned} &S^{2n} : \ = \{x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} : \textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{2n+1} |x_k| = 2\pi\}, \\ &\tau_0(x) : = 0, \quad \tau_j(x) := \textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{j} |x_k|, \quad j = 1, \ldots, 2n+1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

For each $x \in S^{2n}$, put

$$\begin{aligned} g_x(y) &:= \operatorname{sgn} x_j, \quad \tau_{j-1}(x) \le y < \tau_j(x), \quad j = 1, \ldots, 2n+1, \\ f_x(y) &:= (G * \varphi(K_\beta * g_x))(y). \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Let X_{2n} be any $2n$–dimensional subspace of L_q , $1 < q < \infty$. Suppose that $X_{2n} = \operatorname{span}\{f_1, \ldots, f_{2n}\}$ and let

$$f_x^\circ := \sum_{j=1}^{2n} a_j(x) f_j$$

be the unique best approximation element to f_x from the subspace X_{2n}. If Θ is not contained in X_{2n} (this happens only when G satisfies Property B), then $E(\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}, X_{2n}) = \infty$. We shall now assume that $\Theta \subset X_{2n}$. Then $\dim \Theta = 1$ if G satisfies Property B or $\dim \Theta = 0$ if G is a $NCVD$ kernel. Assume that f_1 is a basis of Θ when G satisfies Property B. The mapping

$$A_1(x) := \begin{cases} (b(x), a_2(x), \ldots, a_{2n}(x)), & \text{if } G \text{ satisfies Property } B, \\ (a_1(x), a_2(x), \ldots, a_{2n}(x)), & \text{if } G \text{ is a } NCVD \text{ kernel}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$b(x) := \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi((K_\beta * g_x)(t))\, dt,$$

is an odd and continuous map of S^{2n} into $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Hence, by Borsuk's theorem (see [6], p. 91) there exists an $x_1^\star \in S^{2n}$ for which $A_1(x_1^\star) = 0$. Then $g_{x_1^\star} \in \{h_\xi : \xi \in \overline{\Lambda}^{\varphi,\Theta}_{2n}\}$ and $a_i(x_1^\star) = 0$, $i = 2, \ldots, 2n$ if G satisfies Property B or $i = 1, \ldots, 2n$ if G is a $NCVD$ kernel. As $\|g_{x_1^\star}\|_\infty \le 1$, $f_{x_1^\star} \in \widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}, X_{2n}) &\ge \inf_{g \in X_{2n}} \|f_{x_1^\star} - g\|_q \ge \|f_{x_1^\star} - f^\circ_{x_1^\star}\|_q \\ &\ge \inf_{f \in \widetilde{K}^G_\beta(\overline{\Lambda}^{\varphi,\Theta}_{2n})} \|f\|_q, \quad 1 < q < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Consequently,

$$d_{2n}(\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) \ge \inf_{f \in \widetilde{K}^G_\beta(\overline{\Lambda}^{\varphi,\Theta}_{2n})} \|f\|_q, \quad 1 < q < \infty.$$

Passing to the limit $q \to \infty$ and using Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$d_{2n}(\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}, L_\infty) \ge \inf_{f \in \widetilde{K}^G_\beta(\overline{\Lambda}^{\varphi,\Theta}_{2n})} \|f\|_\infty = \|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_\infty.$$

Now let us find a lower bound for the Gel′fand $2n$–width. Suppose that

$$X^{2n} := \{f \in L_\infty : \langle l_j,\, f\rangle = 0,\, l_j \in {L_\infty}^*,\, j = 1, \ldots, 2n\}.$$

If $\langle l_j,\, 1\rangle = 0, j = 1, \ldots, 2n$, then $a \in \widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta} \cap X^{2n}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$ (this happens only when G satisfies Property B), which gives

$$\sup_{f \in \widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta} \cap X^{2n}} \|f\|_\infty = \infty.$$

If there exists a $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, 2n\}$ for which $\langle l_{j_0}, 1\rangle \neq 0$, then without loss of generality we may assume that $\langle l_1, 1\rangle \neq 0$. Set

$$L_j := l_j - \frac{\langle l_j, 1\rangle}{\langle l_1, 1\rangle} l_1, \quad j = 2, \dots, 2n.$$

For each $x \in S^{2n}$, denote by A_2 the mapping

$$A_2(x) := \begin{cases} (b(x), \langle L_2, f_x\rangle, \dots, \langle L_{2n}, f_x\rangle), & \text{if } G \text{ satisfies Property } B, \\ (\langle l_1, f_x\rangle, , \langle l_2, f_x\rangle, \dots, \langle l_{2n}, f_x\rangle), & \text{if } G \text{ is a } NCVD \text{ kernel.} \end{cases}$$

Since A_2 is an odd and continuous map of S^{2n} into $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, by Borsuk's theorem there exists an $x_2^\star \in S^{2n}$ for which $A_2(x_2^\star) = 0$. Then $g_{x_2^\star} \in \{h_\xi : \xi \in \overline{\Lambda}_{2n}^{\varphi,\Theta}\}$ and

$$f_2^\star := f_{x_2^\star} - \frac{\langle l_1, f_{x_2^\star}\rangle}{\langle l_1, 1\rangle} \in X^{2n} \cap \widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}.$$

Consequently,

$$\sup_{f \in \widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi} \cap X^{2n}} \|f\|_\infty \geq \|f_2^\star\|_\infty \geq \inf_{f \in \widetilde{K}_\beta^G(\overline{\Lambda}_{2n}^{\varphi,\Theta})} \|f\|_\infty = \|\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}\|_\infty.$$

Therefore,

$$d^{2n}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) \geq \|\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}\|_\infty. \tag{4.9}$$

It follows from [13, Lemma 1] and the monotonicity of n-widths that

$$d_{2n}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) \leq \lambda_{2n}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) \leq \lambda_{2n-1}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty),$$

$$d_{2n}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) \leq d_{2n-1}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) \leq \lambda_{2n-1}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty),$$

$$d^{2n}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) \leq i_{2n}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) \leq \lambda_{2n}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) \leq \lambda_{2n-1}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty),$$

$$d^{2n}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) \leq d^{2n-1}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) \leq i_{2n-1}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) \leq \lambda_{2n-1}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty).$$

So it remains to find a suitable upper bound for $\lambda_{2n-1}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty)$. Such an estimate follows from Lemma 3.5. Theorem 4.2 is proved. ☐

Corollary 4.3. *Let n, $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{2n}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) = \lambda_{2n}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) = d^{2n}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) = i_{2n}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) \\ = {} & d_{2n-1}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) = \lambda_{2n-1}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) = d^{2n-1}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) = i_{2n-1}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_\infty) \\ = {} & \begin{cases} \|D_r * h_n\|_\infty, & \widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi} = \widetilde{W}_\infty^r, \\ \|D_r * (K_\beta * h_n)\|_\infty, & \widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi} = \widetilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}^r, \\ \|D_r * \varphi_0(K_\beta * h_n)\|_\infty, & \widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi} = \widetilde{H}_{\infty,\beta}^r. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Now we proceed to solve a minimum norm question on analogue of the polynomial perfect splines in the space of L_q, $1 \le q < \infty$. Following the method of [8, 21, 2], we get the following result which will be used in the lower estimates of the n-widths in Theorem 4.5, and 4.7.

Lemma 4.4. *Let* n, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* G *satisfy Property* B . *Then for* $1 \le q < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\inf_{f \in \widetilde{K}_\beta^G(\overline{\Lambda}_{2n}^{\varphi,\mathbb{R}})} \|f\|_q = \min_{m \in \mathbb{N}, m \le n} \|\Phi_{m,\beta}^{G,\varphi}\|_q = \|\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}\|_q \\
&= \begin{cases} \|D_r * h_n\|_q, \quad G = D_r,\, \beta = 0, \\ \|D_r * (K_\beta * h_n)\|_q, \quad G = D_r,\, \varphi = \varphi_1,\, \beta > 0, \\ \|D_r * \varphi_0(K_\beta * h_n)\|_q, \quad G = D_r,\, \varphi = \varphi_0,\, \beta > 0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. For $1 \le q < \infty$, we follow the approach of Zensykbaev [21], Micchelli and Pinkus [8], and Pinkus [15]. □

A compactness argument shows that the infimum in (4.11) is attained, i.e., there exists an $a^\star \in \mathbb{R}$ and a $\xi^\star \in \overline{\Lambda}_{2n}^{\varphi,\mathbb{R}}$, $\xi^\star = (\xi_1^\star, \dots, \xi_{2m}^\star)$, $m \le n$, such that

$$\inf_{a \in \mathbb{R},\ \xi \in \overline{\Lambda}_{2n}^{\varphi,\mathbb{R}}} \|a + G * \varphi(K_\beta * h_\xi)\|_q^q = \|a^\star + G * \varphi(K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})\|_q^q.$$

Using the method of Lagrange′s multiplier, we find that the optimal $a^\star$ and $\xi^\star = (\xi_1^\star, \dots, \xi_{2m}^\star)$ must satisfy the following system of nonlinear equations:

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(x)\, dx = 0, \tag{4.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{(-1)^j}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \left[\int_0^{2\pi} G(t) \varphi'((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(x-t)) K_\beta(x - t - \xi_j^\star)\, dt \right] dx \\
&+ \tfrac{\theta(-1)^j}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(t)) K_\beta(t - \xi_j^\star)\, dt = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2m,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \varphi((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(t))\, dt = 0,$$

where θ is the Lagrange′s multiplier, and

$$f(x) := q|a^\star + G * \varphi((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(x))|^{q-1} \mathrm{sgn}[a^\star + G * \varphi((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(x))]. \tag{4.14}$$

Since φ is a continuous odd and strictly increasing function, G satisfies Property B and K_β is $NCVD$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&S_c(f) = S_c\left(a^\star + G * \varphi(K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})\right) \le S_c(\varphi(K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})) \\
&\le S_c(K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star}) \le S_c(h_{\xi^*}) \le 2n.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

We first claim that the knots of the vector $\xi^\star$ are equidistant which means that $\xi^\star_{j+1} - \xi^\star_j = \pi/m$, $j = 1, \ldots, 2m$, i.e., $h_{\xi^\star} = h_m$. By translation we may assume that $\xi^\star = (\xi^\star_1, \ldots, \xi^\star_{2m})$ satisfies $0 = \xi^\star_1 < \xi^\star_2 < \cdots < \xi^\star_{2m} < 2\pi$ and

$$\delta = \xi^\star_2 = \xi^\star_2 - \xi^\star_1 = \min\{\xi^\star_{i+1} - \xi^\star_i : i = 1, \ldots, 2m\},$$

where $\xi^\star_{2m+1} = 2\pi$. Assume that $h_{\xi^\star} \neq h_m$. It follows from [16, Chapt. V, Lemma 4.1] that

$$S_c(h_{\xi^\star}(\cdot) + h_{\xi^\star}(\cdot + \delta)) \leq 2(m-1).$$

Since φ is a continuous odd and strictly increasing function, and K_β is $NCVD$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & S_c(\varphi((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(\cdot)) + \varphi((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(\cdot + \delta))) \\ & = S_c((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(\cdot) + (K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(\cdot + \delta)) \leq S_c(h_{\xi^\star}(\cdot) + h_{\xi^\star}(\cdot + \delta)) \leq 2(m-1). \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= a^\star + G * \varphi((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(x)), \\ r(x) &= p(x+\delta) = a^\star + G * \varphi((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(x+\delta)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & p(x) + r(x) \\ & = 2a^\star + \tfrac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} G(x-y)[\varphi((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(y)) + \varphi((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(y+\delta))]\, dy. \end{aligned}$$

From G satisfying Property B and (4.16), it follows that $S_c(p+r) \leq 2(m-1)$. Since

$$\operatorname{sgn}(a+b) = \operatorname{sgn}(|a|^{q-1}\operatorname{sgn} a + |b|^{q-1}\operatorname{sgn} b)$$

for every a,$b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q \in (1, \infty)$, it follows that

$$S_c(|p(\cdot)|^{q-1}\operatorname{sgn}(p(\cdot)) + |r(\cdot)|^{q-1}\operatorname{sgn}(r(\cdot))) \leq 2(m-1)$$

for each $q \in [1, \infty)$. From (4.14),

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= q|a^\star + G * \varphi((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(x))|^{q-1}\operatorname{sgn}[a^\star + G * \varphi((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(x))] \\ &= q|p(x)|^{q-1}\operatorname{sgn}(p(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$S_c\left(f(\cdot) + f(\cdot + \delta)\right) \leq S_c(p+r) \leq 2(m-1).$$

Set

$$P(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) H(x; y)\, dx + \theta \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(t)) K_\beta(t-y)\, dt$$

and

$$R(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x+\delta) H(x; y)\, dx + \theta \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(t+\delta)) K_\beta(t-y)\, dt,$$

where

$$H(x;y) = \int_0^{2\pi} G(t)\varphi'((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(x-t))K_\beta(x-t-y)\,dt.$$

By change of scale and Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P(y) &= \tfrac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} f(x)\left[\int_0^{2\pi} G(x-t)\varphi'((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(t))K_\beta(t-y)\,dt\right]dx \\
&+ \theta\int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(t))K_\beta(t-y)\,dt \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(t))K_\beta(t-y)\left[\int_0^{2\pi} G(x-t)f(x)\,dx\right]dt \\
&+ \theta\int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(t))K_\beta(t-y)\,dt \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(t))f_G(t)K_\beta(t-y)\,dt + \theta\int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(t))K_\beta(t-y)\,dt \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \varphi'((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(t))K_\beta(t-y)\left(f_G(t)+2\pi\theta\right)\,dt \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} K_\beta(y-t)\varphi'((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(t))\left(f_G(t)+2\pi\theta\right)\,dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_G(t) = \int_0^{2\pi} G(x-t)f(x)\,dx.$$

Since $\varphi' \geq 0$, φ' is continuous on $[-1,1]$, G satisfies Property B and K_β is $NCVD$, by (4.15), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{Z}_c(P(\cdot)+R(\cdot)) \leq S_c\left(\varphi'((K_\beta * h_{\xi^\star})(\cdot))(f_G(\cdot)+f_G(\cdot+\delta)+4\pi\theta)\right) \\
&\leq S_c(f_G(\cdot)+f_G(\cdot+\delta)+4\pi\theta) \leq S_c(f(\cdot)+f(\cdot+\delta)) \leq 2(m-1).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

A simple change of variable argument shows that $R(y) = P(y+\delta)$, from which we obtain

$$\widetilde{Z}_c(P(\cdot)+P(\cdot+\delta)) \leq 2(m-1).$$

From (4.13), we have

$$P(\xi_i^\star) = 0, \quad i = 1,\ldots,2m.$$

By our choice of δ, $\xi_i^\star < \xi_i^\star + \delta \leq \xi_{i+1}^\star$, $i = 1,\ldots,2m$, and therefore

$$S_c^+\left(P(\xi_1^\star+\delta),\ldots,P(\xi_{2n}^\star+\delta)\right) = 2m.$$

Thus

$$S_c^+\left(P(\xi_1^\star)+P(\xi_1^\star+\delta),\ldots,P(\xi_{2n}^\star)+P(\xi_{2n}^\star+\delta)\right) = 2m,$$

which implies that

$$\widetilde{Z}_c\left(P(\cdot)+P(\cdot+\delta)\right) \geq 2m.$$

This is a contradiction, and therefore $h_{\xi^\star}(\cdot) = -h_{\xi^\star}(\cdot+\delta)$, i.e., $h_{\xi^\star} = h_m$.

Now we proceed to show that $a^\star = 0$. Let

$$f(x;a) := q|a + G * \varphi((K_\beta * h_m)(x))|^{q-1}\mathrm{sgn}[a + G * \varphi((K_\beta * h_m)(x))].$$

Since the constant term is a free variable, $f(\cdot;a^\star) \perp 1$. Because $G * \varphi((K_\beta * h_m)(x + \frac{\pi}{m})) = -G * \varphi((K_\beta * h_m)(x))$, it follows that $f(\cdot;0) \perp 1$. Thus $a^\star = 0$. Since $h_m \in \{h_\xi : \xi \in \overline{\Lambda}_{2n}^{\varphi,\mathbb{R}}\}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $m \leq n$, by Theorem 3.6 and (1.15), we get (4.11). $\square$

Theorem 4.5. *Let n, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and G satisfy Property B. Then for $1 \leq q < \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned} d^{2n}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_q) &= d^{2n-1}(\widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}, L_q) = \|\Phi_{n,\beta}^{G,\varphi}\|_q \\ &= \begin{cases} \|D_r * h_n\|_q, \quad G = D_r,\ \beta = 0, \\ \|D_r * (K_\beta * h_n)\|_q, \quad G = D_r,\ \varphi = \varphi_1,\ \beta > 0, \\ \|D_r * \varphi_0(K_\beta * h_n)\|_q, \quad G = D_r,\ \varphi = \varphi_0,\ \beta > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Proof. We begin with the lower estimate. Assume that S^{2n}, g_x and f_x are the same as those in the proof of Theorem 4.2 (see (4.6) and (4.7)). Suppose that $1 \leq q < \infty$, and

$$X^{2n} := \{f \in L_q : \langle l_j, f\rangle = 0,\ l_j \in {L_q}^*,\ j = 1, \ldots, 2n\}.$$

If $\langle l_j, 1\rangle = 0, j = 1, \ldots, 2n$, then

$$\sup_{f \in \widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi} \cap X^{2n}} \|f\|_q = \infty.$$

Therefore, we only need to consider the subspace X^{2n} such that there exists a $j_0 \in \{1, \ldots, 2n\}$ for which $\langle l_{j_0}, 1\rangle \neq 0$. Then without loss of generality we may assume that $\langle l_1, 1\rangle \neq 0$. Set

$$L_j := l_j - \frac{\langle l_j, 1\rangle}{\langle l_1, 1\rangle} l_1, \quad j = 2, \ldots, 2n.$$

For each $x \in S^{2n}$, denote by A_3 the mapping

$$A_3(x) := (b(x), \langle L_2, f_x\rangle, \ldots, \langle L_{2n}, f_x\rangle),$$

where

$$b(x) := \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi((K_\beta * g_x)(t))dt.$$

Since A_3 is an odd and continuous map of S^{2n} into $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, by Borsuk's theorem, there exists an $x_3^\star \in S^{2n}$ for which $A_3(x_3^\star) = 0$. Then $g_{x_3^\star} \in \{h_\xi : \xi \in \overline{\Lambda}_{2n}^{\varphi,\mathbb{R}}\}$, and $\langle L_i, f_{x_3^\star}\rangle = 0$, $i = 2, \ldots, 2n$. Thus

$$f_3^\star := f_{x_3^\star} - \frac{\langle l_1, f_{x_3^\star}\rangle}{\langle l_1, 1\rangle} \in X^{2n} \cap \widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi}.$$

Consequently,

$$\sup_{f \in \widetilde{K}_{\infty,\beta}^{G,\varphi} \cap X^{2n}} \|f\|_q \geq \|f_3^\star\|_q \geq \inf_{f \in \widetilde{K}_\beta^G(\overline{\Lambda}_{2n}^{\varphi,\mathbb{R}})} \|f\|_q.$$

Therefore,

$$d^{2n-1}(\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) \geq d^{2n}(\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) \geq \inf_{f\in \widetilde{K}^{G}_{\beta}(\overline{\Lambda}^{\varphi,\mathbb{R}}_{2n})} \|f\|_q. \tag{4.19}$$

Now we turn to the upper estimate. It follows from Corollary 3.7 that

$$d^{2n}(\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) \leq d^{2n-1}(\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) \leq \|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_q, \tag{4.20}$$

which together with (4.11), (4.19) and (1.15) gives the proof of Theorem 4.5. □

Remark 4.6. *From [16, Chapt. V, Theorem 4.11], it is known that the exact values of the Gel'fand* $2n$*–width of* $\widetilde{W}^r_\infty$ *in* L_q*,* $r \in \mathbb{N}$*,* $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ *is determined, moreover, Sun [18] determined the exact values of the Gel'fand* n*–widths*

$$d^{2n}(\widetilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) = d^{2n-1}(\widetilde{h}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) = \|\phi^{\beta}_n\|_q, \quad 1 \leq q \leq \infty,\ n = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

where

$$\phi^{\beta}_n(x) = \frac{4}{\pi}\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2\nu+1)nx}{(2\nu+1)\cosh(2\nu+1)n\beta}\,. \tag{4.21}$$

Osipenko [12] obtained the exact values of the Gel'fand n*–width of* $\widetilde{h}^r_{\infty,\beta}$ *in* L_q*,* $r \in \mathbb{N}$*,* $1 \leq q \leq \infty$*, and we [2] determined the exact values of the Gel'fand* n*–width of* $\widetilde{H}^r_{\infty,\beta}$ *in* L_q*,* $r \in \mathbb{N}$*,* $1 \leq q < \infty$*.*

Now we turn to prove the lower estimate of the Kolmogorov $2n$–Width of $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ in L_q for G satisfying Property B and $1 \leq q < \infty$.

Theorem 4.7. *Let* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* G *satisfy Property* B*. Then for* $1 \leq q < \infty$*,*

$$d_{2n}(\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) \geq \|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_q. \tag{4.22}$$

Proof. We will prove the lower bound for the Kolmogorov $2n$–width. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 < q < \infty$. Assume that S^{2n}, g_x and f_x are the same as those in the proof of Theorem 4.2 (see (4.6)–(4.7)). Since the class of functions $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ contains all constants, in order to establish the lower bound of $d_{2n}(\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q)$ we only have to consider the subspace $X_{2n} \subset L_q$ which also contains the constants. Let X_{2n} be any $2n$-dimensional subspace of L_q, $1 < q < \infty$, such that $1 \in X_{2n}$. Suppose that $X_{2n} = \operatorname{span}\{f_1, \ldots, f_{2n}\}$ and $f_1(t) \equiv 1$. Let $a_1(x), \ldots, a_{2n}(x)$ be the coefficients of $f_1, \ldots, f_{2n}$, respectively, in the unique best approximation to f_x from X_{2n}. The mapping

$$A_4(x) := (b(x), a_2(x), \ldots, a_{2n}(x)),$$

where

$$b(x) := \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi((K_\beta * g_x)(t))\,dt,$$

is an odd and continuous map of S^{2n} into $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. By Borsuk's theorem there exists an $x^\star_4 \in S^{2n}$ for which $A_4(x^\star_4) = 0$. Then $g_{x^\star_4} \in \{h_\xi : \xi \in \overline{\Lambda}^{\varphi,\mathbb{R}}_{2n}\}$ and $a_i(x^\star_4) = 0$, $i = 2, \ldots, 2n$. Then $f_{x^\star_4} - a_1(x^\star_4) \in \widetilde{K}^{G}_{\beta}(\overline{\Lambda}^{\varphi,\mathbb{R}}_{2n}) \subset \widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$. Therefore, if $1 < q < \infty$, by Lemma 4.4 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2n}(\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) &\geq \sup_{f\in\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}} \inf_{g\in X_{2n}} \|f-g\|_q \geq \|f_{x^\star_4} - a_1(x^\star_4)\|_q \\ &\geq \inf_{f\in \widetilde{K}^{G}_{\beta}(\overline{\Lambda}^{\varphi,\mathbb{R}}_{2n})} \|f\|_q = \|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_q, \quad 1 < q < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

By passing to the limit $q \to 1$, we obtain the lower estimate of the Kolmogorov $2n$–width $d_{2n}(\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q)$, $1 \le q < \infty$. Theorem 4.7 is proved. $\square$

Remark 4.8. *By [2] [6] [11], and[16], if* $n,\ r \in \mathbb{N}$, *then for* $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta} = \widetilde{W}^r_\infty$, $\widetilde{h}^r_{\infty,\beta}$ *or* $\widetilde{H}^r_{\infty,\beta}$,

$$d_{2n}(\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) = \lambda_{2n}(\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) = i_{2n}(\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) = \|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_q, \tag{4.24}$$

where

$$\|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_q = \begin{cases} \|D_r * h_n\|_q, & \widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta} = \widetilde{W}^r_\infty, \\ \|D_r * (K_\beta * h_n)\|_q, & \widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta} = \widetilde{h}^r_{\infty,\beta}, \\ \|D_r * \varphi_0(K_\beta * h_n)\|_q, & \widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta} = \widetilde{H}^r_{\infty,\beta}, \end{cases}$$

and $1 \le q < \infty$. *So we conjecture that*

$$d_{2n}(\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) = \lambda_{2n}(\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) = i_{2n}(\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}, L_q) = \|\Phi^{G,\varphi}_{n,\beta}\|_q$$

holds for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, G *satisfying Property B,* φ *being such that* $\widetilde{K}^{G,\varphi}_{\infty,\beta}$ *is convex and* $1 \le q < \infty$. *Moreover, we think that all the results proved in this paper also hold for* G *being a* $ENCVD$ *kernel (see Section 3 of Chapter III in [16]).*

References

[1] N. I. Achieser, *Theory of Approximation*, ngar, New York, 1956.

[2] Fang Gensun and Li Xuehua, *Comparison theorems of Kolmogorov type and exact values of n-widths on Hardy-Sobolev classes*, Math. Comp. 75(2006), 241-258.

[3] Fang Gensun and Li Xuehua, *Optimal Quadrature Problem on Hardy-Sobolev classes*, Journal of Complexity, 21 (2005), 722-739.

[4] S. D. Fisher, *Envelopes, widths, and Landau problems for analytic functions*, Constr. Approx. **5** (1989), 171–187.

[5] W. Forst, *Über die Breite von Klassen holomorpher periodischer funktionen*, J. Approx. Theory, **19** (1977), 325–331.

[6] N. Korneichuk, *Exact Constants in Approximation Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.

[7] G. G. Lorentz, M. V. Golischek, Y. Makovoz, *Constructive Approximation*, Springer–Verlag, New York, 1993.

[8] C. A. Micchelli, A. Pinkus, *Some problems in the approximation of functions of two variables and n–widths of integral operators*, J. Approx. Theory **24** (1978), 51–77.

[9] K. Yu. Osipenko, *On n–widths, optimal quadrature formulas, and optimal recovery of functions analytic in a strip*, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Mat. **58** (1994), 55–79; English transl., in Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. **45** (1995), 55–78.

[10] K. Yu. Osipenko, *Inequalities for derivatives of functions analytic in a strip*, Mat. Zametki **56** (1994), 114–122; English transl., in Math. Notes **56** (1994), 1069–1074.

[11] K. Yu. Osipenko, *Exact values of n–widths and optimal quadratures on classes of bounded analytic and harmonic functions*, J. Approx. Theory **82** (1995), 156–175.

[12] K. Yu. Osipenko, *Exact n–widths of Hardy–Sobolev classes*, Constr. Approx. **13** (1997), 17–27.

[13] K. Yu. Osipenko, *On the precise values of n–widths for classes defined by cyclic variation diminishing operators*, Sbornik Math. **188** (1997), 1371–1383.

[14] K. Yu. Osipenko and K. Wilderotter, *Optimal information for approximating periodic analytic functions*, Math. Comput. **66** (1997), 1579–1592.

[15] A. Pinkus, *On n–widths of periodic functions*, J. Analyse Math. **35** (1979), 209–235.

[16] A. Pinkus, *n–Widths in Approximation Theory*, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1985.

[17] S.A.Smolyak, *On the Optimal Recovery of Functions and Functionals of Them*, Kandidat thesis, Moscow State University, Moscow, 1965.

[18] Sun Yongsheng, *A remark on Kolmogorov's comparison theorem*, Chin. Ann. of Math. (Ser. B), **7** (1986), 463–467.

[19] L. V. Taikov, *The approximation in the mean of certain classes of periodic functions*, Trudy Math. Inst. Stekhlov, **88** (1967), 61–70.

[20] V. M. Tikhomirov, *Diameters of sets in function spaces and the theory of best approximations*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **15** (1960), 81–120; English transl. in Russian Math. Surveys, **15** (1960), 75–111.

[21] A. A. Zensykbaev, *On the best quadrature formulas on the class W^rL_p*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **227** (1976), 277–279; English transl. in Soviet Math. Dokl, **17** (1976), 377–380.